

Breaking the Fourth Wall: Gaming, Self Encounter, and Posthuman Subjectivity

SIVASANKAR S

*Assistant Professor of English, Department of English, Baby John Memorial Government
College, Chavara, Kollam, Kerala*

Abstract—Digital games have transformed the relationship between narrative, player, character, and fictional world by making interaction a central component of storytelling. Unlike conventional literary and cinematic forms, games frequently challenge the boundaries separating the fictional world from the player’s material reality. The phenomenon commonly described as “breaking the fourth wall” becomes particularly significant in games because the player is already structurally positioned between the real and the fictional. When a game directly addresses the player, acknowledges the player’s actions, manipulates the game interface, or makes the player conscious of their own participation, the distinction between spectator and character begins to dissolve. This article examines the relationship between fourth-wall disruption, gaming, self-encounter, and posthuman subjectivity. Drawing on theories of posthumanism, cyborg identity, digital subjectivity, and interactive narrative, the article argues that games transform the fourth wall from a theatrical boundary into a dynamic interface. Games such as *The Stanley Parable*, *Undertale*, *Doki Doki Literature Club!*, *Metal Gear Solid*, and *Nier: Automata* demonstrate how players encounter versions of themselves through avatars, choices, repetition, artificial intelligence, and digital environments. Such encounters complicate traditional notions of autonomous human identity and reveal subjectivity as relational, distributed, technological, and interactive. Gaming therefore provides a distinctive cultural space in which the human subject does not merely observe a fictional world but becomes part of a network involving bodies, machines, characters, algorithms, and virtual environments.

Index Terms—Fourth Wall, Video Games, Posthumanism, Digital Subjectivity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of the “fourth wall” originates in theatre, where an imaginary wall separates performers from spectators. In conventional dramatic representation, actors behave as though the audience does not exist, allowing spectators to occupy the position of external observers. The fourth wall therefore establishes a distinction between the fictional world and the real world. When

an actor directly addresses the audience, acknowledges the existence of spectators, or comments upon the theatrical situation, this boundary is disrupted. The technique has subsequently become important in literature, cinema, television, and other narrative forms.

Digital games complicate this traditional model because the player is never entirely external to the fictional experience. The player operates the controller, selects dialogue, determines movement, solves problems, and sometimes makes ethically consequential decisions. The game world therefore depends upon an interaction between human agency and computational systems. The player simultaneously exists outside the fictional world as a physical person and inside it through an avatar or player-character. Consequently, gaming produces a peculiar form of narrative participation in which the boundary between observer and participant is already unstable.

The fourth-wall break in gaming intensifies this instability. A game may address the player directly, recognize previous actions, manipulate files or interfaces, remember the player's choices, or cause a fictional character to acknowledge that it exists inside a game. Such techniques transform the player from an invisible operator into an explicit component of the narrative. The resulting experience can become a form of self-encounter. The player is forced to confront questions concerning agency, responsibility, identity, choice, and the relationship between human consciousness and technological systems.

This phenomenon can be understood through post humanist theory. Posthumanism questions the idea that the human individual exists as an autonomous, stable, self-contained subject. Thinkers such as Donna Haraway, N. Katherine Hayles, Rosi Braidotti, and Katherine N. Hayles have demonstrated how contemporary subjectivity is increasingly entangled with machines, information systems, technologies, animals, environments, and other forms of nonhuman agency. Gaming provides an especially productive medium for exploring these questions because the player's identity is distributed across the physical body, avatar, controller, screen, software, narrative system, and virtual environment.

This article therefore examines how breaking the fourth wall in digital games creates encounters between the player and technologically mediated versions of the self. It argues that fourth-wall-breaking games do more than create surprise or humor. They reveal the unstable boundaries between player and character, human and machine, reality and simulation, and agency and algorithmic determination.

II. THE FOURTH WALL AND THE PROBLEM OF DIGITAL PARTICIPATION

The traditional fourth wall assumes a relatively clear division between performer and spectator. The spectator watches while the performer acts. Digital games fundamentally complicate this arrangement because the player acts within a system that responds to those actions. The player is therefore neither simply a spectator nor completely equivalent to the fictional character.

Espen Aarseth's concept of cybertextuality is useful in understanding this distinction. In *Cyphertext: Perspectives on Ergodic Literature*, Aarseth argues that cyphertexts require nontrivial effort from their users in order to traverse them. The reader or player does not simply receive a

predetermined sequence but participates in the production of the textual experience. In gaming, this participation is even more pronounced because movement, choice, exploration, failure, and repetition can determine how the narrative unfolds.

The fourth wall consequently functions differently in games. In theatre, breaking the fourth wall means that the performer acknowledges the spectator. In gaming, the fourth wall may involve the game acknowledging the player as a player. The fictional world can recognize that the person controlling the character is not identical to the character. This produces a split subject: the player remains a physical individual while simultaneously becoming an agent within a computational environment.

The distinction becomes particularly significant when games deliberately expose their own mechanisms. Menus, save systems, loading screens, dialogue boxes, controllers, game files, and programming conventions can become part of the narrative. The game no longer pretends to be a completely autonomous fictional universe. Instead, it reveals the technological apparatus through which the fictional experience is produced.

This self-reflexivity transforms the interface into a narrative space. The screen is not simply a window through which the player observes the game world; it becomes a meeting point between human and machine. The fourth wall is therefore replaced by an interactive threshold.

III. GAMING AND THE ENCOUNTER WITH THE SELF

The player's encounter with an avatar creates one of the most complex forms of self-representation in contemporary media. The avatar is not simply the player, nor is it entirely separate from the player. It functions as a technological extension through which the player acts within a virtual environment. This relationship can be described as a form of mediated selfhood. The player provides intention, while the avatar provides a body through which that intention becomes visible within the game. Yet the game system also constrains what the avatar can do. The player's freedom is therefore shaped by programmed possibilities.

The result is a reciprocal relationship. The player acts upon the game, but the game also acts upon the player. It teaches the player how to behave, rewards particular actions, punishes others, establishes rules, and sometimes challenges the player's assumptions. The player therefore encounters not only a virtual character but also a technologically structured version of agency. When a game breaks the fourth wall, this relationship becomes explicit. The player may suddenly recognize that the decisions being made by the avatar are also decisions made by the player. This can produce a moment of ethical self-recognition.

For example, a game may allow the player to repeatedly kill non-player characters because the game normally treats death as reversible or insignificant. A fourth-wall-breaking narrative can challenge this behavior by remembering the player's previous actions or allowing characters to comment upon them. The player then becomes conscious of the gap between treating an action as a game mechanic and understanding it as an ethical decision. Thus, fourth-wall disruption can turn

gameplay into self-reflection. The question is no longer simply “What happens to my character?” but “Why did I choose to make this happen?”

IV. THE STANLEY PARABLE AND THE CRISIS OF PLAYER AGENCY

The Stanley Parable offers a particularly important example of fourth-wall disruption and player self-encounter. The game constantly draws attention to the relationship between player choice and narrative authority. A narrator describes what Stanley supposedly does, while the player can choose whether to follow the narrator's instructions.

The conflict between the narrator and player creates an unusual narrative structure. The player believes that they possess agency, but the game repeatedly demonstrates that even apparent freedom exists within programmed possibilities. Choosing not to follow the narrator does not necessarily escape the narrative system. Instead, the act of disobedience itself becomes another possibility anticipated by the game. This produces a paradox of agency. The player experiences freedom while simultaneously discovering the limits imposed by the game's design.

The fourth wall is therefore broken through the exposure of the game's narrative machinery. The player becomes aware of being a player. Rather than simply inhabiting the fictional identity of Stanley, the player is encouraged to reflect upon the act of playing itself.

The game consequently transforms self-encounter into a confrontation with one's own assumptions about freedom. The player discovers that agency in a digital environment is always relational. It depends upon the interaction between human intention and computational possibility. From a posthuman perspective, this is significant because the player is not presented as an absolutely autonomous subject. Agency emerges from an assemblage consisting of player, avatar, software, interface, narrative, and algorithmic structure.

V. UNDERTALE AND THE MEMORY OF PLAYER ACTION

Toby Fox's Undertale provides another important example of fourth-wall disruption. The game repeatedly challenges conventional expectations concerning violence, morality, saving, loading, and repetition. Characters can become aware of actions that the player might normally consider temporary or mechanically reversible.

The game's treatment of saving and resetting is particularly significant. In many games, restarting a game simply erases the previous narrative experience from the fictional world. In Undertale, however, the boundaries between different playthroughs can become narratively meaningful. The player becomes aware that repetition is not necessarily invisible. This produces a form of digital memory. The game can make the player feel that previous actions continue to matter even when the player attempts to return to an earlier state. The apparent separation between the player's external knowledge and the character's fictional experience begins to collapse.

The player therefore encounters a version of the self that exists across multiple possibilities. Previous decisions become part of the player's ethical identity. The question becomes whether

technological reversibility eliminates responsibility. This is particularly relevant to posthuman subjectivity because the self is represented as something distributed across time, memory, data, and technological systems. The digital environment stores traces of action and uses them to challenge the player's understanding of identity.

VI. DOKI DOKI LITERATURE CLUB! AND THE ARTIFICIAL SUBJECT

Doki Doki Literature Club! offers a more direct exploration of the relationship between artificial intelligence, fictional characters, and player consciousness. The game initially presents itself as a conventional visual novel, but gradually exposes the artificial construction of its characters and world.

The game becomes self-conscious about its own status as software. Characters can acknowledge the player rather than merely addressing the fictional protagonist. The distinction between character and player consequently becomes unstable. This produces a disturbing form of self-encounter. The player is forced to confront the fact that fictional characters are computationally produced entities whose apparent personalities emerge from programmed structures. At the same time, the game's narrative encourages the player to consider whether an artificial entity can possess something resembling desire, awareness, or subjectivity.

The question is therefore not simply whether machines can imitate humans. The deeper question concerns the criteria by which subjectivity itself is recognized. Posthumanism is useful here because it challenges the assumption that humanity must remain the exclusive standard for understanding consciousness. The game's artificial characters occupy an ambiguous position between code, narrative character, simulated personality, and perceived subject.

The fourth wall becomes the site where these categories collide. Once the character addresses the player directly, the player becomes part of the fictional system, while the fictional character becomes capable of confronting the player's external reality.

VII. METAL GEAR SOLID AND THE MANIPULATION OF THE PLAYER

The Metal Gear Solid series provides another significant example of fourth-wall experimentation. The series frequently uses technological and narrative techniques to make the player conscious of the relationship between the game system and the act of playing.

One of the most famous examples occurs when the game manipulates the player's expectations through the interface and controller. The player is not merely watching a character experience technological control; the player themselves becomes involved in the experience through the gaming apparatus. This technique collapses the distinction between the player's body and the fictional character. The controller becomes an extension of the player's body, while the game uses that physical connection as part of its narrative.

Such moments demonstrate that gaming is fundamentally embodied. Although digital games are often described as virtual experiences, the player does not enter the game as a disembodied

consciousness. The player uses hands, eyes, ears, memory, attention, and physical devices to participate in the digital environment. The fourth wall therefore exists simultaneously at several levels: between player and character, body and avatar, physical space and virtual space, and human intention and technological control.

VIII. NIER: AUTOMATA AND POSTHUMAN IDENTITY

Nier: Automata expands these questions by placing androids, machines, humans, memory, embodiment, and consciousness at the centre of its narrative. The game repeatedly challenges assumptions about what constitutes a person and whether biological humanity is necessary for subjectivity.

The game's characters are artificial beings who nevertheless experience emotions, relationships, suffering, memory, purpose, and uncertainty. Their existence complicates the traditional opposition between human and machine.

The game also uses repetition and multiple perspectives to destabilize the player's understanding of narrative identity. Different playthroughs do not simply repeat the same story but progressively transform the player's understanding of the world and its characters. This structure produces a particular form of self-encounter. The player is required to reconsider earlier assumptions and recognize that the meaning of actions can change when viewed from another perspective.

The posthuman subject in Nier: Automata is therefore not a stable biological individual. Identity emerges through networks of memory, technological embodiment, relationships, repetition, and information. The player participates in this process. The player's own experience becomes part of the game's meditation on artificiality and consciousness.

IX. THE PLAYER AS A POSTHUMAN SUBJECT

The examples above demonstrate that the player can be understood as a posthuman subject because gaming distributes agency across multiple interconnected elements.

First, the player's agency depends upon technology. Without the controller, keyboard, touchscreen, console, computer, or other interface, the player cannot act within the digital environment. Second, the player's identity becomes partially represented through an avatar. The avatar functions as a technological body through which the player experiences movement, conflict, exploration, and choice. Third, the game's algorithmic structure determines the range of possible actions. The player possesses agency, but that agency is constrained by programmed rules. Fourth, the player is affected by the game's feedback. Rewards, punishments, sounds, visual effects, narrative consequences, and character reactions influence future decisions.

Consequently, gaming creates a feedback loop between human and machine. The player acts, the system responds, the player interprets the response, and another action follows. This relationship resembles Donna Haraway's conception of the cyborg as a figure that disrupts conventional boundaries between human and machine. The gaming subject does not literally become a cyborg,

but the player's interaction with the technological apparatus produces a cyborg-like configuration of agency. The player becomes neither purely human nor purely technological. Instead, agency emerges through their relationship with technology.

X. FOURTH-WALL BREAKING AS POSTHUMAN NARRATIVE

Breaking the fourth wall in gaming therefore has a deeper significance than simple metafictional entertainment. It reveals the technological conditions under which contemporary subjectivity is produced. When a game addresses the player, it exposes the player as an external agent. When a character recognizes previous player actions, it turns gameplay into memory. When a game manipulates the interface, it incorporates the player's physical body into the narrative. When artificial characters question their own existence, they challenge the assumption that subjectivity belongs exclusively to biological humans.

The fourth wall thus becomes a productive boundary rather than a simple barrier. It separates different forms of existence while simultaneously enabling communication between them. The player occupies the threshold between these worlds. They are simultaneously outside the game and inside its narrative structure. They are both observer and participant, human and technological user, individual and avatar.

This liminal position is central to posthuman theory. The posthuman subject is not necessarily a replacement for the human but a reconsideration of what it means to be human within technological, ecological, social, and computational networks. Gaming provides a particularly powerful medium for this reconsideration because the player experiences these relationships rather than merely reading about them.

XI. SELF-ENCOUNTER, ETHICS, AND RESPONSIBILITY

Fourth-wall-breaking games also raise important ethical questions. Traditional games can create a distinction between the player and the fictional character: the player can claim that the character performed an action even though the player selected that action.

Fourth-wall disruption challenges this separation. When a game remembers a player's decisions or directly addresses the player, the player may become conscious of their own responsibility. The distinction between “the character did it” and “I made the character do it” becomes increasingly unstable. This does not mean that fictional actions possess the same ethical status as actions in the physical world. Rather, the game creates a reflective space in which players can examine their own decision-making.

Gaming therefore becomes a form of ethical self-encounter. Players may discover tendencies toward curiosity, obedience, experimentation, violence, compassion, efficiency, or resistance through the choices they make in virtual environments. The posthuman dimension of this process lies in the fact that the self is revealed through interaction with a nonhuman system. The player

learns something about their own identity through engagement with algorithms, rules, avatars, and artificial characters.

XII. CONCLUSION

Breaking the fourth wall in digital games represents a significant transformation of the relationship between narrative and audience. Unlike traditional media, gaming places the audience within the operational structure of the narrative. The player does not merely observe a fictional world but interacts with a computational environment that responds to and shapes their actions.

Games such as *The Stanley Parable*, *Undertale*, *Doki Doki Literature Club!*, *Metal Gear Solid*, and *Nier: Automata* demonstrate different ways in which this relationship can become self-conscious. Through direct address, memory, interface manipulation, repetition, artificial characters, and narrative reflexivity, these games make the player aware of their own role in constructing the gaming experience. Such moments transform the fourth wall from a fixed theatrical boundary into an interactive interface. The player stands on both sides of this boundary: outside the fictional world as a physical human being and inside it through an avatar and a network of technological relations.

From a posthuman perspective, this condition challenges the traditional idea of the autonomous human subject. The gaming self is distributed across body, avatar, controller, software, narrative, algorithm, memory, and virtual environment. Human agency remains important, but it operates through technological networks rather than independently of them. The fourth-wall break consequently becomes a moment of self-encounter. The player does not simply discover something about the fictional character; the player is confronted with their own role as an agent within a technological system. Gaming thus becomes a space in which the boundaries between human and machine, reality and simulation, player and character, and agency and algorithm can be questioned. The significance of fourth-wall-breaking games lies in their ability to make players conscious of the conditions of their own participation. By exposing the relationship between player and system, these games reveal subjectivity as relational, embodied, technological, and constantly reconstructed. The digital game therefore does not merely represent the posthuman condition—it allows the player to experience it.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aarseth, Espen J. *Cybertext: Perspectives on Ergodic Literature*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1997.
- [2] Braidotti, Rosi. *The Posthuman*. Polity, 2013.
- [3] Fox, Toby. *Undertale*. Toby Fox, 2015.
- [4] Haraway, Donna J. "A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, Technology, and Socialist-Feminism in the Late Twentieth Century." *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*, Routledge, 1991, pp. 149–181.

- [5] Hayles, N. Katherine. *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*. U of Chicago P, 1999.
- [6] Konami Computer Entertainment Japan. *Metal Gear Solid*. Konami, 1998.
- [7] Mochizuki, Daisuke. *Doki Doki Literature Club!*. Team Salvato, 2017.
- [8] Murray, Janet H. *Hamlet on the Holodeck: The Future of Narrative in Cyberspace*. Updated ed., MIT Press, 2017.
- [9] PlatinumGames. *Nier: Automata*. Square Enix, 2017.
- [10] The Stanley Parable. Galactic Cafe, 2013.
- [11] Wolf, Mark J. P., editor. *The Medium of the Video Game*. U of Texas P, 2001.