Environmental Governance and Regulatory Frameworks: Law, Policy and Pathway to Sustainability

S.Stalin
Assistant Professor of Law, Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institue of Science and Technology, Avadi, Chennai - 600062.

***Abstract*—Environmental governance is a new aspect of global sustainability where a strong regulatory framework is necessary to balance environmental sustainability with socio-economic progress. This sub-topic is the changing interface of law, policy, and governance in dealing with acute environmental issues of climate change, biodiversity loss, pollution, and resource management. Good governance requires the establishment of globalized legal tools, as well as their clear application, enforcement, and change to suit various national and local settings.The abstract highlights the importance of statutory frameworks, judicial interventions and administrative mechanisms in the environmental outcomes. It emphasizes the role played by international conventions, national legislations and community-based initiatives towards a multi-layered form of governance. Meanwhile, it will critically look at areas of violation of compliance, enforcement and accountability, especially in areas where there is weak regulatory capacity or where there is discourse around regulatory capacity.This discussion revolves around policy innovation and governments and institutions are increasingly adopting participatory methods where they incorporate scientific evidence, indigenous knowledge and stakeholder participation. The sub- theme highlights the significance of rights based approaches, procedural transparency, and inclusive governance paradigms which would empower communities at the same time ascertain ecological justice. Moreover, it places environmental governance in the larger context of sustainable development, focusing on the ways to balance the environmental regulation and the sustainability of the economy, social fairness, and technological advances.This theme offers the opportunity to challenge the current framework by shifting the law, policy, and sustainability to reflect on the existing frameworks, suggest reforms, and anticipate the adaptive structures of governance that can react to fluid environmental realities. Finally, the debate aims to create a holistic vision of the issue of environmental governance as a legal position and a moral obligation, and pave the way to a more sustainable and more just future.**

***Index Terms*—Environmental Governance, Regulatory frameworks, Sustainability, Policy Innovation, Ecological Justice.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The twenty first century has been characterized by a convergence of ecological crises and governance issues than ever before. The failure of current fragmented responses to regulation has been highlighted by climate change, a declining biodiversity, deforestation, acidification of the oceans, and transboundary pollution, which have demonstrated the necessity to have coherent, enforceable, and inclusive systems of environmental regulation. The central point in this discussion is that environmental governance does not simply consist in the management of natural resources but the formulation of institutional, legal and policy frameworks that dictate the collective journey of humanity towards sustainability.

The concept of environmental governance can be defined as the processes, institutions, and mechanisms on how societies control the interaction between humans and the environment. It includes the formal legal tools, policy frameworks and informal norms that regulate decision making in the local, national, regional, and international levels. Regulatory frameworks, in their turn, offer the binding framework of governance, converting the normative commitments into the binding obligations and mechanisms of compliance. The two of them make up the scaffolding on which sustainable development has to be developed.

The necessity of enhancing environmental governance is exacerbated by the Anthropocene a geological period characterized by the domination of the human over planetary systems. Anthropocene has blurred the local and global environmental issues because governance is transnational in nature. An example is that when a country emits carbon it influences the stability of the world, and when the tropical areas are deforested, it interferes with the global biodiversity and climate control. Such interconnectedness requires systems of governance that are not limited to national sovereignty but are instead ones that are collective in nature.

High profile international conferences and treaties have been instrumental in the development of environmental governance. Stockholm Conference in 1972 brought in the principle of environmental protection as part of human rights and development. Sustainable development was enshrined as an international priority at the Rio Earth Summit of 1992 with its principles of precaution, polluter pays and common but differentiated responsibility. The later milestones such as the Kyoto Protocol, Paris Agreement and the Convention on biological diversity have gradually increased the area of governance to include not only the control of pollution but also climate resilience, biodiversity conservation and sustainable finance. However, even in the midst of these improvements, the lack of effectiveness remains in enforcement gaps, institutional fragmentation, and geopolitical cuts.

The research question that will be the core of the paper is the following: How can law and policy frameworks be restructured to allow effective environmental governance and map a plausible route to sustainability? To answer this question, it is necessary to conduct a comparative study of various regulatory systems in different jurisdictions, analyze governance innovations, and examine challenges and gaps. The paper contends that sustainability cannot be attained using legal tools, it needs adaptive governance which incorporates law, policy, technology as well as community involvement.

This introduction preconditions a further investigation concerning the theoretical basis, historical development, cross-national regulatory strategies, and perspectives of environmental governance. By placing law and policy in the contexts of sustainability as a whole, the paper aims to show that strong systems of governance are not merely legal requirements, but ethical mandates towards protecting planetary wholeness and intergenerational fairness.

Conceptual Framework

Environmental governance is most conceptualized in the interaction of law, policy, and normative principles that interactively formulate the channels towards sustainability. Conceptual framework operates as a theoretical and dogmatic framework of the analysis of the functioning of governance structures, the development of regulatory frameworks, and the way sustainability can be attained with the help of unified strategies.

II. THEORETICAL BASICS OF ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE

Governance Theory: Governance is a broader concept and includes the networks of actors such as: states, international organizations, civil society, indigenous communities and the private corporations. According to this pluralistic view, environmental problems are too complicated to be solved through state-centric regulation.

Sustainable Development Paradigm: Sustainable development is based on the Brundtland Report (1987) which focuses on the key aspects of ensuring current needs and do not endanger future generations. It incorporates economic growth, social equity and environmental protection into one triadic model.

Ecological Justice: Developing scholarship The concept of ecological justice is emphasized, as the field of justice is expanded beyond human communities to include ecosystems and non-human communities. This concept opposes the anthropocentric government and promotes the rights of nature.

The International Legal Principles

The environmental governance is based on a complex of generally accepted principles of laws which regulate the policy formulation and adjudication:

Precautionary Principle: There should be a measure taken to avoid environmental damage in case there is no total scientific certainty. Environmental policies on climate and biodiversity are based on this principle.

Polluter Pay principle: The people who have caused the pollution are the ones who pay the remediation. It educates the liability regimes and carbon pricing mechanisms.

Intergenerational Equity:The present generations are obligated to ensure environmental integrity to their future generations. This is codified in climate treaties and constitutional stipulations in such countries as Norway and India.

Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR): Accepts that, even though all states have a duty regarding the protection of the environment, developed countries have more responsibilities because of past emission and capacity.

III. POLICY FRAMES AND INTERNATIONAL TOOLS

United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): The SDGs was adopted in 2015 and is a holistic framework that connects environmental sustainability with poverty eradication, gender equality, and economic growth. Goals 13 (Climate Action), 14 (Life Below Water), and 15 (Life on Land) are all environmental in nature, but are all connected.

Paris Agreement (2015): It is a historic agreement that highlights nationally determined contributions (NDCs), transparency, and climate resilience. It is a transformation of the top-down responsibilities to the bottom-up responsibilities.

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD): This convention is concerned with the conservation, sustainable use and sharing of genetic resources fairly and equally.

Basel, Rotterdam, and Stockholm Conventions: Hazardous waste, chemicals and persistent organic pollutants, which brings out the regulatory aspect of boundary environmental risks.

Environmental Governance Evolution

The development of environmental governance is a historical process that has been marked by several historical milestones, the changes in global consciousness, legal innovation and institutional design. Since the first environmental issues raised concerns about pollution and the use of resources, the history of governance shows that the scope, actors, and mechanisms have steadily increased.

Pioneering beginnings: Stockholm conference (1972)

Modern environmental governance took its shape in the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm, 1972). It was also the first international conference to identify the environment as a common problem of mankind. The 26 principles outlined in the Stockholm Declaration encompassed the right to a healthy environment and the obligation of the states to avoid transboundary harm. It also resulted in the formation of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) that came to act as the institutional base of the international environmental cooperation.

This era was marked with command-and-control regulation whereby emphasis was given to conservation and pollution control. This method in terms of regulation was reflected in the national legislation of the U.S. Clean Water Act (1972) and the Water Act of India (1974).

Agenda: Rio Earth Summit (1992) Expansion

The Rio de Janeiro United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) was a paradigm shift. It coined the term of sustainable development that combines the environmental protection with the economic and social aspects. Key outcomes included:

Rio Declaration on Environment and development (27 principles, such as precaution and polluter pays).

The action plan of sustainable development Agenda 21.

Framework Convention on Climate change (UNFCCC), Convention on biological diversity (CBD), and Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD).

Rio made the concept of common limited but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR) institutionalized, considering the historical responsibility of developed countries. It also prioritized on participatory governance where NGOs and civil society are to take part in decision making.

Pathways to Sustainability

Sustainability is one of the challenges in the present day world that has become the order of the day. With the increased suffering of environmental crisis, societies have no choice but to reconsider the forms of law, policy and governance that define the interactions of human beings with nature. Comparative studies of the regulatory frameworks highlight areas of strength and areas of persistent deficiencies, which contributes to the necessity of responsive, integrative, and innovative processes toward sustaining the environment. These are not linear tracks; they have to be a holistic one that is able to reconcile the global commitments with local realities, technological innovation with traditional wisdom, and economic efficiency with social justice.

Carbon pricing mechanisms have become one of the most important policy innovations in the last few decades. Carbon taxes and emissions trading systems fix the environmental cost of greenhouse gas emissions, which provides economic incentives to decrease emissions. European Union Emissions Trading Systems have proven to be effective in reducing the amount of

emission in the power and industrial sectors and other countries like Canada and South Korea have also followed the example. India, which is still at the initial stage, has tested carbon markets by its scheme of Perform, Achieve and Trade. However, there are equity issues that still have to be addressed: carbon pricing may put the burden on vulnerable groups unless it is well structured with redistributive protection.

In the same vein as carbon pricing, the emergence of green finance and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) policies has transformed the accountability of corporations. Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation and Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive stipulate transparency in corporate environmental performance in the EU, which leads to investment flows and consumer decisions. The Securities and Exchange Board in India has also developed Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting which conform to the international ESG reporting guidelines. The measures enable sustainability to be entrenched in the corporate governance, as an indicator of change in commitment, which was not binding, to enforceable commitment. Along with this trend is that of the circular economy model that seeks to move beyond linear take-make-dispose trends towards reuse, recycling and resource efficiency. This transition is seen in the Circular Economy Action Plan by the EU and the plastics extended producer responsibility in India.

There are also other innovations in governance that have been very instrumental in promoting sustainability. Legitimacy and cultural sensitivity are made better through participatory governance, where community, non-governmental organizations and indigenous groups participate in decisions. The Escazu Agreement is the first of its kind in Latin America, which ensures access to information, participation and justice on issues relating to the environment. The Forest Rights Act of India also grants power to the tribal groups and combines social justice and ecological management. There is an escalating polycentricity whereby the national governments, cities, corporations, and civil society are equally responsible as centers of governance. The C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group exemplifies how cities networks may take the initiative in addressing climate change without necessarily relying on nations. Governance is enhanced further through transparency and accountability systems including digital monitoring system and blockchain-based carbon registries, which minimize the level of corruption and increase compliance.

Technology has turned out to be an avenue and challenge. Satellite image-based, drone-based, and AI-led digital surveillance make it possible to track deforestation, emissions, and biodiversity in real-time. Carbon trading and supply chains are also transparent with the help of blockchain technologies. Solar, wind, and hydro power are transforming into renewable energy which is one of the key areas of sustainability. A good example of South-South collaboration in renewable energy is the International Solar Alliance in India, whereas feed-in tariffs and renewable portfolio standards are speeding up the process in the rest of the world. Green

buildings and sustainable transport systems are climate-resilient infrastructure, which minimises ecological footprints and maximises resilience. However, excessive dependence on technology may expose disadvantaged groups of people who cannot access digital technology, casting ethical dilemmas on equity and inclusion.

Another factor that is equally significant is the incorporation of traditional ecological knowledge (TEK). The wisdom of indigenous and local people relates to the centuries-old traditions and focuses on balance with the environment, cyclic application of resources, and stewardship. The example of the New Zealand acknowledgment of the Whanganui River as a legal person and the Indian tribal forest management practice under the Forest Rights Act demonstrate how TEK can be used to supplement the scientific methods. Integration of TEK within governance systems allows societies to become more resilient and culturally legitimate and makes the idea of sustainability not as technocratic, but as one that is based on practical experience.
Another pathway is education and capacity building. Integrating sustainability in curriculum creates awareness of ecology in the future generation. Development of regulators, judges and communities enhances enforcement ability whereas campaigning of the citizens through awareness programs encourages them to embrace sustainable practices. Such initiatives instill a spirit of accountability and give people the ability to work actively in governance.

Behind these pathways are the aspects of ethics and justice. Intergenerational justice requires that the existing policies should protect the rights of the future generations. Climate justice demands a solution to the NorthSouth imbalance of tasks and budget, as well as disarming the weak countries and not overwhelming them with the responsibility. Recognitions of the rights of nature, as understood in Ecuador and New Zealand, can be interpreted as ecological justice because the rights of ecosystems are correctly granted legal personhood. These moral pillars bring to our minds the fact that sustainability is not a mere technical issue but also a moral issue.
However, problems still exist. Laws and treaties lack enforcement, which interferes with their effectiveness. Division between overlapping institutions and contracts results in inefficiency. Market instruments that are efficient have a risk of increasing inequalities when not designed thoughtfully. Nevertheless, new challenges in the form of misinformation, cyber risks, and migration induced by climate also make governance tricky. These challenges have to be dealt with through flexible, inclusive and resilient adaptive governance.
The suggestions on how to progress on the paths to make the world sustainable are evident. The cooperation should be increased internationally and the legislation nationally should be synchronized with the international treaties. Digital tools should be applied to improve transparency and accountability, whereas TEK and community involvement should be incorporated into governance. There is the need to have inclusive governance where women, youth, and marginalized groups are represented. There should be ethical utilisation of technology where innovation and equity will be balanced.

To sum up, the ways to achieve sustainability require the comprehensive approach that incorporates law, policy, governance, technology, and ethics. They should be flexible to new threats, accommodative of different voices, and strong enough to be adaptive to the uncertainties. Through reconciling world pledges and local facts, and incorporating justice and equity into government, the societies might embark on a feasible course towards environmental and intergenerational accountability. The concept of sustainability is not a destination but a living process that takes the collective responsibility and creativity as well as the commitment to the world and future generations.

IV. CHALLENGES AND GAPS

Even in the context of the achievements of environmental governance and the new ways of achieving sustainability that have appeared, it is clear that there are still serious concerns and loopholes. These challenges destroy the efficacy of law and policy structures, institutional strength and jeopardize the integrity of international obligations. These challenges must be critically analyzed to determine why sustainability has not been achieved and how the governance needs to be modified to eliminate the systemic weaknesses.

Enforcement deficit is one of the most burning issues. Most of the environmental laws and treaties have outlandish objectives, but they do not have powerful instances of implementation. National structures are usually fraught with poor institutions, lack of resources and corruption that makes them unable to enforce. The international treaties like the Paris Agreement are the ones that depend on the voluntary adherence by making the contributions that are nationally determined. Although this bottom up method promotes participation, it also leads to lopses ambition and lack of accountability. The lack of binding enforcement mechanisms can also make the promises on the one hand symbolic, and not transformative.

The issue of institutional fragmentation is closely associated with enforcement. The characteristic of environmental governance is that treaties, agencies, and jurisdictions overlap and tend to work in silos. Such fragmentation results in duplication of efforts, inefficiencies and conflicting mandates. As an illustration, climate regulation under the UNFCCC, biodiversity regulation under the CBD, and pollution regulation under the Basel Convention exist unilaterally, although they are closely related, regarding their goals and objectives. Ministries of environment, energy, agriculture, and industry often take divergent policies at the national level, which destroy coherence. Good governance demands cross-sectoral and cross-level integration, but institutional fragmentation has continued to pose an obstacle.

The other acute division is the North-South divide. The common but differentiated responsibilities principle accepts the idea of historical responsibility and differences in capacities but the debates on climate financing and burden-sharing remain the areas that polarize negotiations. The developing nations claim that they do not have resources to extend the ambitious sustainability measures without the support of developed nations in terms of financial and technological aspects. At the same time, developed nations frequently do not keep their word, which destroys confidence and collaboration. This rift does not only limit the development of the globe but also increases inequalities, which means that vulnerable communities are unfairly impacted by environmental destruction.

There are also threats that are emerging and are a further complication of governance. False information and misinformation, such as using deepfakes, destroy trust in science and policy. The fake news concerning climate change or loss of biodiversity may slow action and divide societies. There is also a challenge of cyber risks since digital governance tools, which include satellite monitoring, artificial intelligence systems of compliance, and blockchain registries, can be hacked and manipulated. Moreover, migration due to climate is posing new governance challenges because the displaced population is straining the available resources and also upsetting the current legal systems on refugees and human rights. These threats coming out of the blue explain why we require adaptive governance that can be effective in predicting and reacting to new risks.

The issues of equality are also still there in the creation of governance tools. Carbon pricing and emissions trading are efficient in principle, though they are dangerous when adopted without redistributive policies since they may inadvertently place an undue burden on the vulnerable populations. On the same note, technological changes can discriminate against societies that are not digitally connected, and perpetuate disparities. Governance should thus strike a balance between efficiency and justice and in this case, sustainability should not be achieved at the expense of social equity.

Lastly, it is the problem of political will. Political priorities, economic pressures, and even selfish interests tend to cripple the implementation of environmental governance. Regulatory rollbacks in certain jurisdictions are indicative of weaknesses of environmental law in changing political circumstances. Devoid of long term dedication between administrations and parties, development stands on weak grounds. Political will does not just reside in the formulation of laws but also in the formulation of a consistent enforcement and inclusion of the laws in larger development strategies.

Overall, the issues and gaps in environmental governance are complex: lack of enforcement, institutional fragmentation, geopolitical fragmentation, emergent risks, equity issues and political susceptibility. It takes more than a series of adjustments to tackle them, it takes a systematic change. Governance needs to be dynamic, coordinated and resilient and able to deal with both long term challenges and emerging risks. It is only through direct face to face struggle with these

issues that societies can shift away form symbolic commitments to substantive action and be able to ensure that environmental governance does not fail to deliver the promised solution as a means of sustainability.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

The issues and deficiencies in the environmental governance point out the necessity of systemic change that is urgent. Although the current frameworks offer a base, they should be reinforced, integrated and revised to suit the sustainability requirements. The solution in the development of governance and regulatory frameworks therefore should be multidimensional so as to focus on both the law, policy, institutions, technology, and ethics.

One of the first things to enhance is enhancing international cooperation. The environmental problems are by their very nature transboundary, and national responses that are fragmented can never sufficiently take care of global crises like global warming or loss of biodiversity. International treaties need to be treated in a manner that their legal provisions are reconciled with national laws to guarantee the development of coherence and consistency. This involves not only ratification of the international agreements but also their successful domestication into the national laws. The international side should also be involved in monetary and technological aid especially to the developing nations in order to reduce the North-South gap so that the developing nations may participate fairly in the sustainability endeavors.

It is also necessary to improve transparency and accountability. The systems of governance should have in place mechanisms that would guarantee compliance and minimize corruption. Satellite monitoring, blockchain registries and open data portals can be used as examples of using digital tools to provide up-to-date data and instill confidence. Corporate governance should also be exposed to transparency and mandatory ESG disclosure and independent audit should confirm that its sustainability commitments are not just a show but are real. Enforcement can also be enhanced with accountability mechanisms, such as special tribunals and control by citizens.

One more suggestion is to use the traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) in governance. Indigenous and local communities have millennia-old experience that focuses on cooperation with nature, cycle utilization of resources. The identification and recognition of TEK and scientific methods improve their ability to be resilient and legitimate as cultures. The efforts of India in enacting a law like the Forest Rights Act and the New Zealand effort to make the Whanganui River a legal person are some examples that show how TEK can be incorporated into policies. Such efforts can be expanded to the global scale to make sure that sustainability is not merely technocratic, but based on the experience.

There should also be greater involvement in governance. It is important to include women, the youth and the marginalized groups in the representation to make sure that the sustainability policies take into consideration the various perspective and need. Participatory governance increases legitimacy and development of community ownership of environmental activities. Inclusivity can be institutionalized through mechanisms like the public consultations, citizen assemblies and community-based monitoring. The Escazu Agreement of Latin America serves as a possibility as it ensures the access to information, participation and justice in the environmental issues.

Ethics should be used to exploit technology. As much as digital innovations have the potential to offer robust monitoring and enforcement tools, they have to be implemented in their manner in a manner that does not widen disparities. It is crucial to provide equitable access to technology, privacy protection, and to mitigate the threat of cyber risk. The policies that should support investments in renewable energy, climate- resilient infrastructure and sustainable transport should be based on equity and affordability. Technological governance is ethical and will help innovation to be sustainable without disenfranchising vulnerable groups.

Lastly, it should be a long-term focus on making education and capacity building to be sustainable. Curriculum should also incorporate the concept of environmental literacy on all the levels and this will develop a culture of accountability in the succeeding generations. Public education to mobilize citizens to use sustainable practices and training of regulators, judges, and policymakers is aimed at enhancing institutional capacity. Capacity building, and education also provide sustainability as not only a policy but also a societal norm.

To sum up, the suggestions of the further development of environmental administration are based on collaboration, openness, inclusivity, moral innovation, and education. They understand that sustainability needs to be transformed and not reformed. Through enhancing global collaboration, alignment of legislations, integration of customary wisdom, assurance of inclusiveness, responsible use of technology, and by instilling sustainability in education, societies can create governance systems that are responsive, robust and fair. The recommendations are a roadmap to turning environmental governance into an actual track towards sustainability to protect planetary integrity and intergenerational justice.

VI. CONCLUSION

The history of environmental regulation shows the tendency of the human being to take more responsibility towards the planet. Governance has become broader and more ambitious in its pollution control, as well as in the holistic sustainability. However, there is still a lack of enforcement, fragmentation, and geopolitical divisions. To promote sustainability, there is a need to have adaptive, inclusive, and resilient governance that incorporates law, policy, technology, and ethics.

Sustainability is fundamental, essentially a moral concept that is based on justice and responsibility. Intergenerational justice is about protecting the planet to leave a better place to the future generations, climate justice is about fair distribution of the burden, and ecological justice is about granting nature its rights. With systemic change (enhancement of cooperation, law harmony, traditional knowledge, inclusivity, and ethical use of technologies), societies will be able to transform symbolic commitments into the real ones.

The basis of sustainability as well as the direction to sustainability are both environmental. They represent the changing awareness of humanity on its role of responsibility to protect planetary integrity and the justice of the next generation. The future of governance lies with shared responsibility, creativity as well as unblinking dedication such that sustainability is no longer a dream but a practical reality.

REFERENCES

[1] Ogwu, M. C., El Malahi, S., & Izah, S. C. (2025). Policy and regulatory frameworks for sustainable environmental practices. In Environmental Science and Engineering (pp. 513–544). Springer Nature.
[2] Dutt, S., & Dobhal, A. (2025). Environmental policy and regulatory framework. Indian Journal of Legal Review, 5(10), 201–210.
[3] United Nations. (1972). Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm Declaration).
[4] United Nations. (1992). Rio Declaration on Environment and Development.
[5] United Nations. (1992). Convention on Biological Diversity.
[6] United Nations. (1992). United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
[7] United Nations. (2015). Paris Agreement.
[8] Government of India. (1986). The Environment (Protection) Act.
[9] Government of India. (2006). The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act.
[10] European Commission. (2019). The European Green Deal.
[11] Escazú Agreement. (2018). Regional Agreement on Access to Information, Public Participation and Justice in Environmental Matters in Latin America and the Caribbean.
[12] Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2025). Regulatory institutions and mechanisms in India's environmental governance.
[13] Brundtland Commission. (1987). Our Common Future. Oxford University Press.
[14] Stern, N. (2007). The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review. Cambridge University Press.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Brundtland Commission. (1987). Our common future. Oxford University Press.
[2] Central Pollution Control Board. (2025). Regulatory institutions and mechanisms in India's environmental governance.
[3] Dutt, S., & Dobhal, A. (2025). Environmental policy and regulatory framework. Indian Journal of Legal Review, 5(10), 201–210.
[4] European Commission. (2019). The European Green Deal. Brussels: European Union.
[5] Escazú Agreement. (2018). Regional Agreement on Access to Information, Public Participation and Justice in Environmental Matters in Latin America and the Caribbean. United Nations.
[6] Government of India. (1986). The Environment (Protection) Act. New Delhi: Ministry of Environment and Forests.
[7] Government of India. (2006). The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act. New Delhi: Ministry of Tribal Affairs.
[8] Ogwu, M. C., El Malahi, S., & Izah, S. C. (2025). Policy and regulatory frameworks for sustainable environmental practices. In Environmental Science and Engineering (pp. 513–544). Springer Nature.
[9] Stern, N. (2007). The economics of climate change: The Stern review. Cambridge University Press.
[10] United Nations. (1972). Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment (Stockholm Declaration).
[11] United Nations. (1992). Rio Declaration on Environment and Development.
[12] United Nations. (1992). Convention on Biological Diversity.
[13] United Nations. (1992). United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
[14] United Nations. (2015). Paris Agreement.